arXiv:1702.05784v3 [math.GR] 4 Apr 2017

STRUCTURE AND MINIMAL GENERATING SETS OF SYLOW 2-SUBGROUPS OF ALTERNATING GROUPS

RUSLAN SKURATOVSKII

Abstract. In this article the research of Sylows p-subgroups of A_n and S_n, which was started in [1, 2, 3] is continued. Let $Syl_2A_{2^k}$ and Syl_2A_n be Sylow 2-subgroups of corresponding alternating groups A_{2^k} and A_n. We find a least generating set and a structure for such subgroups $Syl_2A_{2^k}$ and Syl_2A_n.

The authors of [1, 3] didn't prove minimality of found by them set of generators for such Sylow 2-subgroups of A_n and structure of a Sylow 2-subgroups was found by them not fully, for case $n = 2^k$ structure of Syl_2A_n was not found. The purpose of this paper is to study the structure of Sylow 2-subgroups and to construct a minimal generating set for such subgroups. The main result is to prove minimality of this generating set for the above indicated subgroups and also the description of their structure.

Key words: minimal set of generators; wreath product of group; Sylow subgroups; semidirect product.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to research the structure of Sylow 2-subgroups of A_{2^k}, A_n and to construct a minimal generating set for $Syl_2A_{2^k}$. The case of Sylow subgroup where $p = 2$ is very special because group $C_2 \wr C_2 \wr C_2 \ldots \wr C_2$ admits odd permutations, this case was not fully investigated in [1, 2]. This question is up till now under consideration. There was a mistake in a statement about irreducibility of a set of $k + 1$ elements for $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$ that was in abstract [4] on Four ukrainian conference of young scientists in 2015 year. Sylow p-subgroups of A_{2^k} have applications in the automaton theory, because if all states of automaton A have output function that can be presented as cycle $(1, 2, ..., p)$ then the profinite group $G_A(X)$ of this automaton, where X is finite alphabet, is Sylows p-subgroup of the profinite group of all automaton transformations $GA(X)$ [6]. Also in this case for profinite groups hold $Syl_p(AutX) > FGA(X)$ [6], where $\mid X \mid \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, finding the minimum cardinality of generating set is important. Let X^* be the free monoid freely generated by X.

All undeclared terms are from [7, 8].

2. Main result

The set X^* is naturally a vertex set of a regular rooted tree, i.e. a connected graph without cycles and a designated vertex v_0 called the root, in which two words are connected by an edge if and only if they are of form v and vx, where $v \in X^*$, $x \in X$. The set $X^n \subset X^*$ is called the n-th level of the tree X^* and $X^0 = \{v_0\}$. We denote by $v_{j,i}$ the vertex of X^j, which has the number i. The subtree of X^* induced by the set of vertices $\cup_{i=0}^{k} X^i$

is denoted by $X^{[k]}$. Note that the unique vertex $v_{k,i}$ corresponds to the unique word v in alphabet X. For every automorphism $g \in AutX^*$ and every word $v \in X^*$ define the section (state) $g_{(v)} \in AutX^*$ of g at v by the rule: $g_{(v)}(x) = y$ for $x, y \in X^*$ if and only if $g(vx) = g(v)y$. The restriction of the action of an automorphism $g \in AutX^*$ to the subtree $X^{[l]}$ is denoted by $g_{(v)}|_{X^{[l]}}$. A restriction $g_{(v)}|_{X^{[1]}}$ is called the vertex permutation (v.p.) of g in a vertex v. Let us introduce conventional signs for a v.p. state value of α in v_{ki} as $s_{ki}(\alpha)$ we put that $s_{ki}(\alpha) = 1$ if $\alpha_{(v_{ki})}|_{X^{[1]}}(x) = y,\ x \neq y$ such state of v.p. is active, and $s_{ki}(\alpha) = 0$ if $\alpha_{(v_{ki})}|_{X^{[1]}}(x) = x$ such state of v.p. is trivial. Let us label every vertex of $X^l,\ 0 \leq l < k$ by sign 0 or 1 in relation to state of v.p. in it. Obtained by such way a vertex-labeled regular tree is element of $AutX^{[k]}$.

We denote by $v_{j,i}X^{[k-j]}$ subtree of $X^{[k]}$ with a root in $v_{j,i}$. An automorphism of $X^{[k]}$ with non-trivial states of v.p. in some of $v_{1,1}$, $v_{1,2}$, $v_{2,1}$,..., $v_{2,4}$, ... ,$v_{m,1}$, ... ,$v_{m,j}$, $m < k, j \leq 2^m$ is denoted by $\beta_{1,(i_{11},i_{12});...;l,(i_{l1},...,i_{l2^l});...;m,(i_{m1},...,i_{m2^m})}$ where the index that stands straight before parentheses are number of level in parentheses we write a tuple of states of v.p. of this level. In other words we set $i_{mj} = 0$ if v.p. in v_{mj} is trivial, $i_{mj} = 1$ in other case, i.e. $i_{mj} = s_{mj}(\beta)$, where $\beta \in AutX^{[k]}$, $m < k$. If for some l all $i_{lj} = 0$ then 2^l-tuple $l, (i_{l1}, ..., i_{l2^l})$ does not figure in indexes of β. But if numbers of active vertices are certain, for example $v_{j,1}$ and $v_{j,s}$, we can use more easy notation $\beta_{j,(1,s);}$, where in parentheses numbers of vertices with active state of v.p. from level j. If in parentheses only one index then parentheses can be omitted for instance $\beta_{j,(s);} = \beta_{j,s;}$. Denote by $\tau_{i,...,j}$ the automorphism of $X^{[k]}$, which has a non-trivial v.p. only in vertices $v_{k-1,i}$, ... ,$v_{k-1,j}$, $j \leq 2^{k-1}$ of the level X^{k-1}. Denote by τ the automorphism $\tau_{1,2^{k-1}}$. Let us consider special elements such that: $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = \beta_{0,(1)}, \alpha_1 = \beta_1 = \beta_{1,(1)}, \ldots, \alpha_l = \beta_l = \beta_{l,(1)}$.

Recall that a wreath product of permutation groups is associative construction. We consider C_2 as additive group with two elements 0, 1. For constructing a wreath product we define an action of C_2 by shift on $X = \{0, 1\}$. As well known that $AutX^{[k-1]} \simeq \underbrace{C_2 \wr ... \wr C_2}_{k-1}$ [6].

Lemma 1. *Every automorphism that has active v.p. only on X^l, $l < k-1$ acts by even permutation on X^k.*

Proof. Actually every transposition in vertex from X^l, $l < k-1$ acts on even number of pair of vertexes because of binary tree structure. More precisely it realize even permutation on the set X^k with cyclic structure [10] $(1^{2^{k-1}-2^{k-l-l}}, 2^{2^{k-l-l}})$ because it formed by the structure of binary tree. □

Corollary 1. *Due to Lemma 1 automorphisms from $AutX^{[k-1]} = \langle \alpha_0, ..., \alpha_{k-2} \rangle$ form a group $B_{k-1} = \underbrace{C_2 \wr ... \wr C_2}_{k-1}$ which acts on X^{k-1} by even permutations. Size of B_{k-1} equal to $2^{2^{k-1}-1}$.*

The parity of an action follows from Lemma 1.

Let us denote by W_{k-1} the subgroup of $AutX^{[k]}$ such that has active states only on X^{k-1} and number of such states is even, i.e. $W_{k-1} \lhd St_{G_k}(k-1)$ [7].

Proposition 1. *The order of W_{k-1} is equal to $2^{2^{k-1}-1}$, $k > 1$ and its structure is $(C_2)^{2^{k-1}-1}$.*

Proof. On X^{k-1} we have 2^{k-1} vertices where can be elements of a group $V_{k-1} \simeq C_2 \times C_2 \times ... \times C_2 \simeq (C_2)^{k-1}$, but as a result of the fact that X^{k-1} contains only even number of non trivial v.p. from X^{k-1}, there are only half of all permutations from $V_{k-1} \simeq St_{G_k}(k-1)$ on X^{k-1}. So it is subgroup $W_{k-1} \simeq {}^{C_2^{2^{k-1}}}/_{C_2}$ of V_{k-1}. So we can state that $|W_{k-1}| = 2^{k-1}-1$, W_{k-1} has $k-1$ generators and we can consider W_{k-1} as vector space of dimension $k-1$. □

For example let us consider the subgroup W_{4-1} of A_{2^4} its cardinality is $2^{2^{4-1}-1} = 2^7$ and $|A_{2^4}| = 2^{14}$. Let us denote by G_k the subgroup of $AutX^{[k]}$ such that $G_k \simeq B_{k-1} \ltimes W_{k-1}$.

Lemma 2. *The elements τ and $\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_{k-1}$ generate arbitrary element τ_{ij}.*

Proof. According to [8, 2] the set $\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_{k-2}$ is minimal generating set for group $AutX^{[k-1]}$. Firstly, we shall prove the possibility of generating an arbitrary τ_{ij}, from set $v_{(k-1,i)}$, $1 \le i \le 2^{k-2}$. Since $Autv_{1,1}X^{[k-2]} \simeq \langle \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{k-2} \rangle$ acts on X^{k-1} transitively from it follows existing of an ability to permute vertex with a transposition from automorphism τ and stands in $v_{k-1,1}$ in arbitrary vertex $v_{k-1,j}$, $j \le 2^{k-2}$ of $v_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$. For this goal we act by α_{k-j} at τ: $\alpha_{k-j}\tau\alpha_{k-j} = \tau_{j,2^{k-2}}$. Similarly we act on τ by correspondent α_{k-i} to get $\tau_{i,2^{k-2}}$ from τ: $\alpha_{k-i}\tau\alpha_{k-i}^{-1} = \tau_{i,2^{k-2}}$. Note that automorphisms α_{k-j} and $\alpha_{k-i}, 1 < i, j < k-1$ acts only on subtree $v_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$ that's why they fix v.p. in $v_{k-1,2^{k-1}}$. Now we see that $\tau_{i,2^{k-2}}\tau_{j,2^{k-2}} = \tau_{i,j}$, where $1 \le i, j < 2^{k-2}$. To get $\tau_{m,l}$ from $v_{1,2}X^{[k-1]}$, i.e. $2^{k-2} < m, l \le 2^{k-1}$ we use α_0 to map $\tau_{i,j}$ in $\tau_{i+2^{k-2},j+2^{k-2}} \in v_{1,2}AutX^{[k-1]}$. To construct arbitrary transposition $\tau_{i,m}$ from W_{k-1} we have to multiply $\tau_{1,i}\tau\tau_{m,2^{k-1}} = \tau_{i,m}$. Let us realize a natural number of $v_{k,l}$, $1 < l < 2^k$ in 2-adic set of presentation (binary arithmetic). Then $l = \delta_{l_1}2^{m_l} + \delta_{l_2}2^{m_l-1} + ... + \delta_{l_{m_l+1}}$, $\delta_{l_i} \in \{0, 1\}$ where is a correspondence between δ_{l_i} that from such presentation and expressing of automorphisms: $\tau_{l,2^{k-1}} = \prod_{i=1}^{m_l} \alpha_{k-2-(m_l-i)}^{\delta_{l_i}} \tau \prod_{i=1}^{m_l} \alpha_{k-2-(m_l-i)}^{\delta_{l_i}}, 1 \le m_l \le k-2$. In other words $\langle \alpha_0, ..., \alpha_{k-2}, \tau \rangle \simeq G_k$. □

Corollary 2. *The elements from condition of Lemma 2 are enough to generate a basis of W_{k-1}.*

Lemma 3. *Orders of groups $G_k = \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{k-2}, \tau \rangle$ and $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$ are equal to 2^{2^k-2}.*

Proof. In accordance with Legendre's formula, the power of 2 in $2^k!$ is $\left[\frac{2^k}{2}\right] + \left[\frac{2^k}{2^2}\right] + \left[\frac{2^k}{2^3}\right] + ... + \left[\frac{2^k}{2^k}\right] = \frac{2^k-1}{2-1}$. We need to subtract 1 from it because we have only $\frac{n!}{2}$ of all permutations as a result: $\frac{2^k-1}{2-1} - 1 = 2^k - 2$. So $|Syl(A_{2^k})| = 2^{2^k-2}$. The same size has group $G_k = B_{k-1} \ltimes W_{k-1}$ and $|G_k| = |B_{k-1}| \cdot |W_{k-1}| = |Syl_2A_{2^k}|$. Since size of groups G_k according to Proposition 1 and the fact that $|B_{k-1}| = 2^{2^{k-1}-1}$ is 2^{2^k-2}. For instance the orders of $Syl_2(A_8)$, B_{3-1} and W_{3-1} are such $|W_{3-1}| = 2^{2^{3-1}-1} = 2^3 = 8$, $|B_{3-1}| = |C_2 \wr C_2| = 2 \cdot 2^2 = 2^3$ and according to Legendre's formula, the power of 2 in $2^k!$ is $\frac{2^3}{2} + \frac{2^3}{2^2} + \frac{2^3}{2^3} - 1 = 6$ so $Syl_2(A_8) = 2^6 = 2^{2^k-2}$, where $k = 3$. Next example for

A_{16}: $Syl_2(A_{16}) = 2^{2^4-2} = 2^{14}, k = 4$, $|W_{4-1}| = 2^{2^{4-1}-1} = 2^7$, $|B_{4-1}| = |C_2 \wr C_2 \wr C_2| = 2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 2^4 = 2^7$. So we have the $|A_{16}| = |W_3||B_3|$ equality which endorse the condition of this Lemma. □

Theorem 1. ***A maximal 2-subgroup*** *of $AutX^{[k]}$ acting by even permutations on X^k has the structure of the semidirect product $G_k \simeq B_{k-1} \ltimes W_{k-1}$ and isomorphic to $Syl_2 A_{2^k}$.*

Proof. A maximal 2-subgroup of $AutX^{[k-1]}$ is isomorphic to $B_{k-1} \simeq \underbrace{C_2 \wr C_2 \wr ... \wr C_2}_{k-1}$ (this group acts on X^{k-1}). A maximal 2-subgroup which has elements with active states only on X^{k-1} corresponds subgroup W_{k-1}. Since subgroups B_{k-1} and W_{k-1} are embedded in $AutX^{[k]}$, then define an action of B_{k-1} on elements of W_{k-1} as $\tau^\sigma = \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}$, $\sigma \in B_{k-1}$, $\tau \in W_{k-1}$, i.e. action by inner automorphism (inner action) from $AutX^{[k]}$. Note that W_{k-1} is subgroup of stabilizer of X^{k-1} i.e. $W_{k-1} < St_{AutX^{[k]}}(k-1) \lhd AutX^{[k]}$ and is normal too $W_{k-1} \lhd AutX^{[k]}$, because conjugation keeps a cyclic structure of permutation so even permutation maps in even. Therefore such conjugation induce automorphism of W_{k-1} and $G_k \simeq B_{k-1} \ltimes W_{k-1}$. Since at X^{k-1} is $2^{2^{k-1}}$ vertexes and half of combinations of active states from X^{k-1} can form even permutation thus $|W_{k-1}| = 2^{2^{k-1}-1}$ that is proved in Proposition 1. Using the Corollary 1 about B_{k-1} we get size of $G_k \simeq B_{k-1} \ltimes W_{k-1}$ is $2^{2^{k-1}-1} \cdot 2^{2^{k-1}-1} = 2^{2^k-2}$. Since G_k is the maximal 2-subgroup then $G_k \simeq Syl_2 A_{2^k}$. □

Theorem 2. *The set $S_\alpha = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_{k-2}, \tau\}$ of elements from subgroup of $AutX^{[k]}$ generates a group G_k which is isomorphic to $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$.*

Proof. As we see from Corollary 1, Lemma 2 and Corollary 2 group G_k are generated by S_α and their orders according to Lemma 3 are equal. So according to Sylow's theorems 2-subgroup $G_k < A_{2^k}$ is $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$. □

Consequently, we construct a generating set, which contains k elements, that is less than in [4].

The structure of Sylow 2-subgroup of A_{2^k} is the following: $\wr_{i=1}^{k-1} C_2 \ltimes \prod_{i=1}^{2^{k-1}-1} C_2$, where we take C_2 as group of action on two elements and this action is faithful. It adjusts with construction of normalizer for $Syl_p(S_n)$ from [12], where it was said that $Syl_2(A_{2^l})$ is self-normalized in S_{2^l}. Number of such minimal generating sets for G_k is $C^1_{2^k-2} C^1_{2^k-2} = 2^{k-2} \cdot 2^{k-2} = 2^{2k-4}$.

Definition 1. Let us call the index of automorphism β on X^l as a number of active v.p. of β on X^l.

Definition 2. Define a generator of type T as an automorphism $\tau_{i_0,...,i_{2^{k-1}};j_{2^{k-1}},...,j_{2^k}}$, that has even index at X^{k-1} and it consists of odd number of active states in vertexes $v_{k-1,j}$ with number $j \le 2^{k-2}$ and odd number in vertices $v_{k-1,j}$, $2^{k-2} < j \le 2^{k-1}$. Set of such elements is denoted by T.

Definition 3. A combined element is such an automorphism $\beta_{1,i_1;2,i_2;...;k-1,i_{k-1};\tilde{\tau}}$, that it's restriction $\beta_{1,i_1;2,i_2;...;k-1,i_{k-1};\tilde{\tau}} \big|_{X_{k-1}}$ coincide with one of elements that can be generated

by S_α and $Rist_{<\beta_{1,i_1};2,i_2;\ldots;k-1,i_{k-1};\tilde{\tau}>}(k-1) = \langle\tau'\rangle$ [7] where $\tau' \in$T. Set of such elements is denoted by C.

In other word elements $g \in$C on level X^{k-1} have such structure as element and generator of type T. As well $\tau_{i_0,\ldots,i_{2^{k-1}};j_{2^{k-1}},\ldots,j_{2^k}} \in St_{AutX^k}(k-1)$.

The minimum size of a generating set S of G we denote by rk$G$ and call the rank of G [13]. By distance between vertices we shall understand usual distance at graph between its vertexes. By distance of automorphism g (element) we shall understand maximal distance between two vertexes with active states of g.

Lemma 4. *A vertices permutations on X^k that has distance d_0 can not be generated by vertex permutations with distance d_1 such that $d_1 < d_0$.*

Proof. The element τ_{ij} with distance $\rho(\tau_{ij}) = d_0$, $d_0 < d_1$ can be mapped by automorphic mapping only in automorphism with distance d_0 because automorphic mapping keep incidence relation and so it possess property of isometry. Also multiplication of portrait (labeled graph) of automorphism τ_{ij} : $\rho(\tau_{ij}) = d_1$ give us portrait of element with distance no greater than d_1, it follows from properties of group operation. For instance $\tau_{1i}\tau_{1j} = \tau_{ii}$, where $i, j > 2^{k-2}$ $\rho(\tau_{1i}) = \rho(\tau_{1j}) = 2k-2$ but $\rho(\tau_{ij}) < 2k-2$. □

Lemma 5. *An arbitrary automorphism $\tau' \in$T can be generated only with using odd number of automorphisms from C or T.*

Proof. Let us assume that there is no such element τ_{ij} which has distance $2k-2$ then accord to Lemma 4 it is imposable to generate are pair of transpositions τ' with distance $\rho(\tau_{ij}) = 2k$ since such transpositions can be generated only by τ_{ij} that described in the conditions of this Lemma: $i \leq 2^{k-2}$, $j > 2^{k-2}$. Combined element can be decomposed in product $\tau\dot{\beta}_{i_l} = \beta_{i_l;\tau}$ so we can express by using τ or using a product where odd number an elements from T or C. If we consider product P of even number elements from T then automorphism P has even number of active states in vertexes $v_{k-1,i}$ with number $i \leq 2^{k-2}$ so P does not satisfy the definition of generator of type T. □

Corollary 3. *Any element of type T can not be generated by $\tau_{ij} \in Autv_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$ and $\tau_{ml} \in Autv_{1,2}X^{[k-1]}$. The same corollary is true for a combined element.*

Proof. It can be obtained from the Lemma 4 because such $\tau_{ij} \in Autv_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$ has distance less then $2k-2$ so it does not satisfy conditions of the Lemma 4. I.e. τ' can not be generated by vertices automorphisms with distance between vertices less than $2k-2$ such distance has only automorphisms of type T and C. But elements from $Autv_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$ do not belongs to type T or C. □

Lemma 6. *Sets of elements of types T, C are not closed by multiplication and raising to even power.*

Proof. Let $\varrho, \rho \in$ T (or C) and $\varrho, \rho = \eta$. The numbers of active states from ϱ and ρ in tuple of vertices $v_{k-1,i}$, $1 \leq i \leq 2^{k-2}$ sums by $mod2$, numbers of active states from ϱ and ρ in vertices on vertices $v_{k-1,i}$, $2^{k-2} < i \leq 2^{k-1}$ sums by $mod2$ too. Thus η has even numbers

of active states on these tuples. Hence $RiSt_{\langle\eta\rangle}(k-1)$ doesn't contain elements of type τ so $\eta \notin \mathtt{T}$. Really if we raise the element $\beta_{1,i_1;2,i_2;...;k-1,i_{k-1};\tau} \in \mathtt{T}$ to even power or we evaluate a product of even number of multipliers from $\mathtt{C}$ corteges μ_0 and μ_1 permutes with whole subtrees $v_{1,1}X^{[k-1]}$ and $v_{1,2}X^{[k-1]}$, then we get an element g with even indices of X^k in $v_{1,1}X^{k-1}$ and $v_{1,2}X^{k-1}$. Thus $g \notin \mathtt{T}$. Consequently elements of $\mathtt{C}$ do not form a group, and the set $\mathtt{T}$ as a subset of $\mathtt{C}$ is not closed too. □

We have to take into account that all elements from $\mathtt{T}$ have the same main property to consists of odd number of active v.p. in vertices $v_{k-1,j}$ with index $j \leq 2^{k-2}$ and odd number in vertices with index $j : 2^{k-2} < j \leq 2^{k-1}$.

Let $S'_\alpha = \langle \alpha_0, \alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{k-2} \rangle$ so as well known [8] $\left\langle S'_\alpha \right\rangle = AutX^{[k-1]}$. The cardinality of a generating set S is denoted by $\mid S \mid$ so $\mid S_a \mid = k-1$. Recall that $rk\,(G)$ is the rank of a group which is equal to number generators of its minimal generating set [13].

Let $S_\beta = S_\alpha \cup \tau_{i...j}$, $\tau_{i...j} \in \mathtt{T}$, $S'_\beta = \left\langle \beta_0, \beta_{1,(1);\tau^x}, ..., \beta_{k-2,(1);\tau^x}, \tau \right\rangle$, $x \in \{0,1\}$, note if $x = 0$ then $\beta_{l,(1);\tau^x} = \beta_l$. In S'_β can be used τ instead of $\tau_{i...j} \in T$ because it is not in principle for the proof. So let $S_\beta = S_\alpha \cup \tau$ hence $\langle S_\beta \rangle = G_k$.

It's known that $rk(AutX^{[k-1]})$ is $k-1 = \mid S'_\alpha \mid$ [8]. So if we complete it by τ or element of type $\mathtt{T}$ we get set S_β such that $G_k \simeq \langle S_\beta \rangle$ and $|S_\beta| = k$. Hence to construct combined element β we multiply generator α_i of S'_α or arbitrary element that can be express from S'_α on the element of type $\mathtt{T}$, i.e. we take $\tau' \cdot \beta_i$ instead of β_i and denote it $\beta_{i;\tau'}$. It's equivalent that $Rist_{\beta_{i;\tau'}}(k-1) = \langle \tau' \rangle$, where τ' – generator of type $\mathtt{T}$.

Let us assume that S'_β does not contain τ and so has a cardinality $k-1$. To express element of type $\mathtt{T}$ from S'_β we can use a word $\beta_{i,\tau}\beta_i^{-1} = \tau$ but if $\beta_{i,\tau} \in S'_\beta$ then $\beta_i \notin S'_\beta$ in contrary case $\mid S'_\beta \mid = k$. So we can not express word $\beta_{i,\tau}\beta_i^{-1} \mid_{X^{[k-1]}} = e$ to get $\beta_{i,\tau}\beta_i^{-1} = \tau$. For this goal we have to find relation in restriction of group G_k on $X^{[k-1]}$. We have to take in consideration that $G_k \mid_{X^{[k-1]}} = B_{k-1}$.

Lemma 7. *An element of type $\mathtt{T}$ cannot be expressed by $S'_\beta \backslash \{\tau\}$ with using a product, where are even number of the elements have combine type.*

Proof. In this case an element of type $\mathtt{T}$ can be expressed only with using elements from $\mathtt{C}$. Note that element of type τ belongs to $Ri\mathrm{St}_{AutX^k}(k-1)$. Let us show that automorphism $\alpha : \ \alpha \mid_X^{k-1} \in \ Ri\mathrm{St}_G(k-1)$, i.e. that is trivial at restriction on $X^{[k-1]}$ and belongs to $\mathtt{T}$ can not be expressed from S'_β without using even number of elements from $\mathtt{C}$ because word $\alpha_{i_1}\alpha_{i_2}...\alpha_{i_n} = e$ of $AutX_{k-1}$ is word that may be reduced to relation in $\underbrace{C_2 \wr ... \wr C_2}_{k-1}$.

It known that in wreath product $\wr_{j=1}^k \mathcal{C}_2$ holds a relations $\beta_i^{2^m} = e$ and $[\beta_i^{-1}\beta_j\beta_i, \sigma_j] = e,\ i < j$, $\langle \beta_j, \sigma_j \rangle \simeq G_j$, [2, 5] or more specially $[\beta_m^i \beta_{i_n,\tau} \beta_m^{-i},\ \beta_m^j \beta_{i_k,\tau} \beta_m^{-j}] = e,\ \ i \neq j$, where n, k, m are number of group in $\wr_{j=1}^k \mathcal{C}_2$ $(m < n,\ m < k)$ [2], β_{i_n}, β_m, β_{i_k} are generators from S_β. As we see such relations r_i has structure of commutator so logarithm [11] of every r_i by every generator $\beta_i \in S_\beta$ is zero because every exponent entering with different signs so every r_i has logarithm 0 by every element from $\mathtt{C}$ hence is not equal to element of T because Lemma 6. Really product of even number of arbitrary elements of $\mathtt{T}$ according to Lemma 6 (analogously $\mathtt{C}$) is not element of $\mathtt{T}$. So other way to express automorphism

$\alpha: \ \alpha\,|_{X^{k-1}} \in Ri\mathrm{St}_{B_{k-1}}(k-1)$ doesn't exist according to relations in G_k. Cyclic relation in this group has form $\beta_{i0;\tau}^{2^x} = e$, analogously for $\beta_{1,i_1;2,i_2;\ldots;k-1,i_{k-1};\tau}^{2^y} = e$ because it is 2-group, so we need to raise generator to even power. So another way to express automorphism $\alpha: \ \alpha\,|_{X^{k-1}} \in Ri\mathrm{St}_{B_{k-1}}(k-1)$ does not exist according to relations in G_k. □

Let us assume an existence of generating set of cardinality $k-1$ for $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$ that in general case has form $S_\beta^*(k-1) = \left\langle \beta_0, \beta_{0;1,(i_{11},i_{12});\pi_1}, ..., \beta_{0;\ldots;k-1,(i_{k-11},\ldots,i_{k-1j});\pi_{k-1}} \right\rangle$, $0 < i < k-1, j \leq 2^i, \pi_1 \in T$, where π_i is the cortege of vertices from X^{k-1} with non trivial v.p. which realize permutation with distance $2(k-1)$. In other word if element $\pi_i \in \mathtt{T}$ then $\beta_{0;\ldots;i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{ij});\pi_i} = \pi_i \alpha_{0;\ldots;i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{ij})}$. From it follows $\beta_{0;1,(i_{11},i_{12});\ldots;m,(i_{m1},\ldots,i_{mj};\pi_m)}\ |_{X^{[k-1]}} = \alpha_{0;1,(i_{11},i_{12});\ldots,m,(i_{m1},\ldots,i_{mj})} \in S_\alpha'$.

Note, that automorphisms from set $S_\beta^*(k-1)$ generate on truncated rooted tree [7] $X^{[k-1]}$ group $\langle S_\beta^*(k-1)\rangle\ |_{X^{[k-1]}} \simeq \wr_{i=1}^{k-1} C_2^{(i)} \simeq B_k$.

Theorem 3. *Any element of type* $\mathtt{T}$ *can not be expressed by elements of* $S_\beta^*(k-1)$.

Proof. For us to obtain an automorphism of type T it is necessary to express an automorphism θ which has zero indexes on $X^0, ..., X^{k-2}$. This conclusion follows from structure of elements from T. It means that word w from letters of $S_\beta^*(k-1)$ corresponds to trivial automorphism in group $AutX^{[k-1]}$, that arise on restriction of $\langle S_\beta^*(k-1)\rangle$ to $X^{[k-1]}$. As well restriction $G_k\ |_{X^{[k-1]}} \simeq B_{k-1}$.

Every relation from B_{k-1} can be expressed as a product of words from the normal closure $R^{B_{k-1}}$ of the set of constitutive relations of the group B_{k-1} [13]. But defined (constitutive) relations r_i of B_{k-1} have form of commutators [5, 2] or $\alpha_i^{2^m} = e$. Hence the number of inclusions of every multiplier is even. But as follows from Lemma 6 such $r_i\ |_{[X^k]} \notin \mathtt{T}$. Really in wreath product $\wr_{j=1}^k \mathcal{C}_2^{(j)} \simeq B_{k-1}$ holds a constitutive relations $\alpha_i^{2^m} = e$ and $[\alpha_m^i \alpha_{i_n} \alpha_m^{-i},\ \alpha_m^j \alpha_{i_k} \alpha_m^{-j}] = e,\ \ i \neq j$, where α_m, α_{i_k} are generators of C_2^m, $C_2^{i_k}$ in $\wr_{j=1}^k \mathcal{C}_l^{(j)}$ $(m < n,\ m < k)$ [2, 5], α_{i_n}, α_{i_n}, α_m, α_{i_k} are generators of the B_{k-1} from S_α'. Such relations are a words $[\beta_m^i \beta_{i_n,\pi} \beta_m^{-i},\ \beta_m^j \beta_{i_k,\pi} \beta_m^{-j}]$, $i \neq j$ or $\beta_i^{2^m} = e$, β_{i_n}, β_m, β_{i_k}, $\beta_{i_n,\pi}$ are generators of $S_\beta^*(k-1)$, that could be an automorphism θ. But $[\beta_m^i \beta_{i_n,\pi} \beta_m^{-i},\ \beta_m^j \beta_{i_k,\pi} \beta_m^{-j}]$, $i \neq j$ does not belongs to T because this word has logarithm 0 by every element [11]. According to 5 product where even number element of type C doesn't equal to element of C or T. Let $\beta_{1,1,(i_{11},i_{12}),\ldots,i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{i2^i});\pi_i}$ be an arbitrary element of type C, where π_i is cortege of vertexes from X^{k-1} having non trivial states which realize permutation with distance $2(k-1)$.

The case where $\theta = \beta_{k-1;\pi_i} \in \mathtt{T}$ can be expressed by multiplying arbitrary $\beta_{1,(i_{11,12}),\ldots,i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{i2^i});\pi_i}$ on $\beta^{-1}_{1,(i_{11,12}),\ldots,i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{i2^i})}$ means that such set has size more then $k-1$ what is contradiction. Really if $\beta_{1,(i_{11,12}),\ldots,i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{i2^i})}$ and $\beta_{1,(i_{11,12}),\ldots,i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{i2^i});\pi_i} \in S_\beta^*$ then $\beta_{1,(i_{11,12}),\ldots,i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{i2^i})}|_{X^{[k-1]}} = \beta_{1,(i_{11,12}),\ldots,i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{i2^i});\pi_i}|_{X^{[k-1]}}$ i.e. these elements are mutually inverse at restriction on $X^{[k-1]}$, but it means that in restriction of $S_\beta^*(k-1)$ to $X^{[k-1]}$ that corresponds to the generating set $S_\alpha'(k-1)$ for $AutX^{[k-1]} \simeq B_{k-1}$ we use two equal generators. So it has at least k generators, because $rk(AutX^{[k-1]}) = k-1$ according to Lemma 1.

The subcase of this case where $\beta^{-1}_{1,(i_{11},i_{12}),\ldots,l,(i_{l1},\ldots,i_{l2^i})}$ can be expressed from $S_\beta^*(k-1)$ as a product of its generators has the same conclusion. Really if we can generate arbitrary

element from B_{k-1} by generators from $S^*_\beta(k-1)$ then $k-1$ generators is contained in set $S^*_\beta(k-1)$ but we have a further $\beta_{1,(i_{11},i_{12}),\ldots,i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{i2^i});\pi}$. In other words if arbitrary element $\beta_{1,(i_{11},i_{12}),\ldots,l,(i_{l1},\ldots,i_{l2^i})}$ of the B_{k-1} does not contains in $S^*_\beta(k-1)$ but can be expressed from it then $S^*_\beta(k-1)$ has at least $k-1$ elements exclusive of $\beta_{1,(i_{11},i_{12}),\ldots,i,(i_{i1},\ldots,i_{i2^i});\pi}$ then $S^*_\beta(k-1) \geq k$. □

Corollary 4. *A necessary and sufficient condition of expressing an element τ from S'_β is existing of pair: $\beta_{i_m;\tau}$, β_{i_m} in $\langle S'_\beta \rangle$. So cardinality of a generating set of G_k which contains S'_β is at least k.*

Proof. Proof can be obtained from Theorem 3 and Lemma 3 from which we have that element of type `T` cannot be expressed from $[\beta^i_m \beta_{i_n.\tau} \beta^{-i}_m,\ \beta^j_m \beta_{i_k.\tau} \beta^{-j}_m] = e,\ i \neq j$ because such word has even number of elements from `C`. Sufficient condition follows from formula $\beta_{i_m;\tau}\beta^{-1}_{i_m} = \tau$. □

Lemma 8. *A generating set of G_k contains S'_α and has at least $k-1$ generators.*

Proof. The subgroup $B_{k-1} < G_k$ is isomorphic to $AutX^{k-1}$ that has a minimal set of generators of $k-1$ elements [8]. Moreover, the subgroup $B_{k-1} \simeq {}^{G_k}\!/_{W_{k-1}}$, because $G_k \simeq B_{k-1} \ltimes W_{k-1}$, where $W_{k-1} \rhd G_k$. As it is well known that if $H \lhd G$ then $\mathrm{rk}(G) \geq \mathrm{rk}(H)$, because all generators of G_k may belongs to different quotient classes [9]. □

As a corollary of last Lemma and Theorem 3 we see that generating set of size $k-1$ does not exist.

Let G^2 be a group of squares of elements from G. Note that Frattini subgroup $\phi(G_k) = {G_k}^2 \cdot [G_k, G_k] = {G_k}^2$ because ${G_k}^2 > [G_k, G_k]$.

Statement 1. *Frattini subgroup $\phi(G_k)$ acts by all even permutations on X^l, $0 \leq l < k-1$ and by all even permutations on X^k except for those from `T`.*

Proof. Index of the automorphism α^2, $\alpha \in S_\beta$ on X^l is always even. Really the parity of the number of vertex permutations at X^l in the product $(\alpha_i\alpha_j)^2$, $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in S_\alpha$, $i, j < k$ is determined exceptionally by the parity of the numbers of active states of v.p. on X^l in α and β (independently of the action of v.p. from the higher levels). On X^{k-1} group G^2 contains all automorphisms of form $\tau_{1i}, i \leq 2^{k-1}$ which can be generated in such way $(\alpha_{k-2}\tau_{12})^2 = \tau_{1234}$, $\tau_{12}\tau_{1234} = \tau_{34}$, $(\alpha_{k-i}\tau_{12})^2 = \tau_{1,2,1+2^{k-i},2+2^{k-i}}$ then $\tau_{1,2,1+2^{k-i},2+2^{k-i}}\tau_{12} = \tau_{1+2^{k-i},2+2^{k-i}}$. In such way we get set of form $\tau_{12}, \tau_{23}, \tau_{34}, \ldots, \tau_{2^{k-1}-1,2^{k-1}}$. This set is the base for W_{k-1}.

The parity of the number of vertex permutations at X^l in the product α_i or $\alpha_i\alpha_j$, $\alpha_i, \alpha_j \in S_\alpha$) is determined exceptionally by the parity of the numbers of active v.p. on X^l in α and β (independently of the action of v.p. from the higher levels). Thus $[\alpha, \beta] = \alpha\beta\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}$ has an even number of v. p. at each level. Therefore, the commutators of the generators from S_α and elements from G^2 generate only the permutations with even number of v. p. at each X^l, $(0 \leq l \leq k-2)$.

Let us consider $(\alpha_0\alpha_l)^2 = \beta_{l(1,2^{l-1}+1)}$. Conjugation by the element $\beta_{1(1,2)}$ (or $\beta_{i(1,2)}$, $0 < i < l$) give us ability to express arbitrary coordinate $x:\ 1 \leq x \leq 2^{l-1}$ where $x = 2^{k-1} - i$,

i.e. from the element $\beta_{l(1,2^{l-1}+1)}$ we can express $\beta_{l(x,2^{l-1}+1)}$. For instance $x = 2^{j-1}+1$, $j < l$: $\beta_{l-j(1,2)}\beta_{l(1,2^{l-1}+1)}\beta_{l-j(1,2)} = \beta_{l(2^{j-1}+1,2^{l-1}+1)}$. If $x = 2^{l-j}+2$ than to realize every shift on x on set X^l the element $\beta_{l(1,2^{l-1}+1)}$ should to be conjugated by such elements $\beta_{l-j(1,2)}\beta_{l-1(1,2)}$. So in such way can be realized every $\beta_{l(x,2^{l-1}+1)}$ and analogously every $\beta_{l(2^{l-1},y)}$ and $\beta_{l(x,y)}$. Hence we can express from elements of G^2 every even number of active states of v.p. on X^l. □

Define the subgroup $G(l) < AutX^{[k]}$, where $l < k$, as $Stab_{AutX^{[k]}}(l)\,|_{X^l}$. It is plain, that $G(l) \simeq {}^{Stab_{AutX^{[k]}}(l)}\!/_{Stab_{AutX^{[k]}}(l+1)}$ because $Stab_{AutX^{[k]}}(l+1)$ is normal subgroup of finite index in $AutX^{[k]}$ [7]. Let us construct a homomorphism from $G(l)$ onto C_2 in the following way: $\varphi(\alpha) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2^l} s_{li}(\alpha) \bmod 2$. Note that $\varphi(\alpha\cdot\beta) = \varphi(\alpha)\circ\varphi(\beta) = (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{2^l} s_{li}(\alpha) + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2^l} s_{li}(\beta))mod2$.

Structure of subgroup $G_k^2 {G_k}' \lhd \wr\limits_1^k S_2 \simeq AutX^{[k]}$ can be described in next way. This subgroup contains the commutant G_k'. So it has on each X^l, $0 \le l < k-1$ all even indexes that can exists there. There does not exist v.p. of type T on X^{k-1}, rest of even the indexes are present on X^{k-1}. It is so, because the sets of elements of types T and C are not closed under operation of rasing to the even power as it proved in Lemma 6. Thus, the squares of the elements don't belong to T and C (because they have the distance, which is less than $2k-2$). This implies the following corollary.

Corollary 5. *A quotient group* ${}^{G_k}\!/_{G_k^2 G_k'}$ *is isomorphic to* $\underbrace{C_2 \times C_2 \times ... \times C_2}_{k}$.

Proof. The proof is based on two facts $G_k^2 G_k' \simeq G_k^2 \lhd G_k$ and $\left|G : G_k^2 G_k'\right| = 2^k$. Construct a homomorphism from $G_k(l)$ onto C_2 in the following way: $\varphi(\alpha) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2^l} s_{li}(\alpha) \bmod 2$. Note that $\varphi(\alpha\cdot\beta) = \varphi(\alpha)\circ\varphi(\beta) = (\sum\limits_{i=1}^{2^l} s_{li}(\alpha) + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2^l} s_{li}(\beta))mod2$, where $\alpha,\ \beta \in AutX^{[n]}$. Index of $\alpha \in G_k^2$ on X^l, $l < k-1$ is even but index of $\beta \in G_k$ on X^l can be both even and odd. Note that $G_k(l)$ is abelian group and $G_k^2(l) \trianglelefteq G_k$. Since words with equal logarithms to all bases [11] belong to distinct cosets of the commutator, the subgroup $G_k^2(l)$ is the kernel of this mapping. Also we can use homomorphism φ which is described above and denote it as φ_l, to map $G_k(l)$ onto C_2 the $\ker\varphi_l = G_k^2(l)$. Really if α from $G_k(l)$ has odd number of active states of v.p. on X^l, $l < k-1$ than $\varphi_l(\alpha) = 1$ in C_2 otherwise if this number is even than α from $\ker\varphi_i$ so $\varphi_l(\alpha) = 0$ hence $\ker\varphi_l = G_k^2(l)$. So ${}^{G_k(l)}\!/_{G_k^2(l)} = C_2$ analogously ${}^{B_k(l)}\!/_{B_k^2(l)} = C_2$. Let us check that mapping $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, ..., \varphi_{k-2}, \phi_{k-1})$ is the homomorphism from G_k to $\underbrace{C_2 \times C_2 \times ... \times C_2}_{k}$. By virtue of the fact that we can construct the homomorphism φ_i from every factor $G_k(i)$ of this direct product to C_2. The group ${}^{G_k}\!/_{G_k^2}$ is elementary abelian 2-group because $g^2 = e,\ g \in G$.

Parity of index of $\alpha \cdot \beta$ on X^l is equal to sum by mod2 of indexes of α and β hence $\varphi_l(\alpha \cdot \beta) = (\varphi_l(\alpha) + \varphi_l(\beta))$ because multiplication $\alpha \cdot \beta$ in G_k does not change a parity of index of β, $\beta \in G_k$ on X^l.

Really action of element of active group $A = \underbrace{C_2 \wr C_2 \wr ... \wr C_2}_{l-1}$ from wreath power $(\underbrace{C_2 \wr C_2 \wr ... \wr C_2}_{l-1}) \wr C_2$ on element from passive subgroup C_2 of second multiplier from product $gf,\ g, f \in (\underbrace{C_2 \wr C_2 \wr ... \wr C_2}_{l-1}) \wr C_2$ does not change a parity of index of β on X^l, if index of β was even then under action it stands to be even and the sum $\varphi(\alpha)$ mod $2 + \varphi(\beta)$ mod 2 will be equal to $(\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta))$ mod 2, hence it does not change a $\varphi(\beta)$. Since words with equal logarithms to all bases [11] belong to distinct cosets of the commutator, the subgroup $G_k^2(l)$ is the kernel of this mapping. Let us define the permutations of the type 2 that act on X_1 and X_2, where $X_1 = \{v_{k,1}, ..., v_{k,2^{k-1}}\},\ X_2 = \{v_{k,2^{k-1}+1}, ..., v_{k,2^k}\},\ X_1 \cup X_2 = X^k$ only by even permutations. Subgroup $G_k^2 G'_k$ acts only by permutations of type 2 on X_1, X_2, according to Statement 1.

The restriction $G_k^2|_{X^{[k-1]}}$ acts only by permutations of the second type (elements of it form a normal subgroup in G_k) by parity of permutation on sets X_1 and X_2. A permutation of Type 1, where on X_1 and X_2 the group G_k can acts by odd as well as by even permutations but in such way to resulting permutation on X^k is always even. The number of active states from subgroup $G_k(k-1)$ on X^{k-1} can be even as well as odd. It means that on set of vertices of X^{k-1} over X_1 i.e. vertices that are connected by edges with vertices of X^{k-1} over X_1 automorphism of G_k can contains odd number of active states (and X_2 analogously). Hence for a subgroup $G(k-1) \simeq W_{k-1}$ such that has the normal subgroup ${G_k}^2(k-1) \lhd G_k(k-1)$ we construct a homomorphism: $\phi_{k-1}(G_k(X_k)) \to C_2 \simeq {}^{G_k}(k-1)/_{G_k^2(k-1)}$ as product of sum by $mod2$ of active states ($s_{k-1,i} \in \{0,1\}$, $0 < j \leq 2^{k-2}$ if $v_{ij} \in X_1$ and X_2 corespondently) on each set X_1 and X_2: $\phi_\alpha(X_1) \cdot \phi_\alpha(X_2) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{2^{k-2}} s_{k-1,i}(\alpha)(\text{mod}2) \cdot \sum\limits_{i=2^{k-2}+1}^{2^{k-1}} s_{k-1,i}(\alpha)(\text{mod}2)$. Where $s_{k-1,i}(\alpha) = 1$ if there is active state in $v_{k-1,i}$, $i < 2^{k-1}+1$ and $s_{k-1,i}(\alpha) = 0$ if there is no active state. It follows from structure of G_k that $\phi_\alpha(X_1) = \phi_\alpha(X_2)$ so it is 0 or 1. But $G_k^2 G'_k$ admits only permutations of Type 2 on X^k so $G_k^2 {G_k}'(X_k) \lhd G_k(X_k)$ because it holds a conjugacy and it is a kernel of mapping from $G_k(X_k)$ onto C_2.

Hence for a subgroup W_{k-1} such that has the normal subgroup ${G_k}^2(k-1) \lhd W_{k-1}$ it was constructed a homomorphism: $\phi_{k-1}(W_{k-1}) \to C_2 \simeq G_k(k-1)/_{G_k^2(k-1)}$ as product of sum by $mod2$ of active states from X_1 and X_2. As the result we have ${}^{G_k}/_{G_k^2} \simeq \underbrace{C_2 \times C_2 \times ... \times C_2}_{k}$. □

Considering that it was proved in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 that $G_k \simeq A_{2^k}$ we can formulate next Corollary.

Corollary 6. *The group A_{2^k} has a minimal generating set with k generators.*

Proof. Since quotient group of G_k by subgroup of Frattini $G_k^2 G_k'$ has minimal set of generators from k elements because ${}^{G_k}/_{G_k^2 G_k'}$ is isomorphic to linear p-space ($p = 2$) of dimension k (or elementary abelian group). Then according to theorems from [14] $rk(G_k) = k$. It means that A_{2^k} is a group with fixed size of minimal generating set. □

Main Theorem. *The set S_α is a minimal generating set for a group G_k that is isomorphic to Sylow 2-subgroup of A_{2^k}, $rk(Syl_2 A_{2^k}) = k$.*

The isomorphism of G_k and $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$ follows from Theorem 2, the minimality of S_β follows from Lemma 8 which said that a rank of $Syl_2(A_{2^k})$ is not less then $k-1$ and Theorem 2, the fact that $rk(Syl_2 A_{2^k}) = k$ follows from Theorem 3 and Theorem 2. Another way to prove the minimality of S_β is given to us by Corollary 5 about quotient by Frattini subgroup.

For example a minimal set of generators for $Syl_2(A_8)$ can be constructed by following way, for convenience let us consider the next set:



Picture 1.

Consequently, in such way we construct second generating set for A_{2^k} of k elements that is less than in [4], and this set is minimal. Such base we will call a ***diagonal base*** for $Syl_2 A_{2^k}$ because it has property $s_{jx}(\alpha_i) = 0$ iff $i \neq j$, for $1 \leq x \leq 2^j$ as it analogously was defined in [3] for base from tableaux for $Syl_2 S_{2^k}$.

Let us consider an examples of $Syl_2 A_n$ for a cases $n = 4k + r$, where $r \leq 3$. The structure of $Syl_2 A_{12}$ is the same as of the subgroup $H_{12} < Syl_2(S_8) \times Syl_2(S_4)$, for that $[Syl_2(S_8) \times Syl_2(S_4) : H_{12}] = 2$, $|Syl_2(A_{12})| = 2^{[12/2]+[12/4]+[12/8]-1} = 2^9$. Also $|Syl_2(S_8)| = 2^7$, $|Syl_2(S_4)| = 2^3$, so $|Syl_2(S_8) \times Syl_2(S_4)| = 2^{10}$ and $|H_{12}| = 2^9$, because its index in $Syl_2(S_8) \times Syl_2(S_4)$ is 2. The structure of $Syl_2(A_6)$ is the same as of $H_6 < Syl_2(S_4) \times (C_2)$. Here $H_6 = \{(g, h_g) | g \in Syl_2(S_4), h_g \in C_2\}$, where

$$\begin{cases} h_g = e, \quad if \ g|_{X_2} \in Syl_2(A_6), \\ h_g = (5,6), \ if \ g|_{X^2} \in Syl_2(S_6) \setminus Syl_2 A_6. \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

The structure of $Syl_2(A_6)$ is the same as subgroup $H_6 : H_6 < Syl_2(S_4) \times (C_2)$ where $H_6 = \{(g, h) | g \in Syl_2(S_4), h \in AutX\}$. So last bijection determined by (1) giving us $Syl_2 A_6 \simeq Syl_2 S_4$. As a corollary we have $Syl_2 A_{2^k+2} \simeq Syl_2 S_{2^k}$. The structure of $Syl_2(A_7)$ is the same as of the subgroup $H_7 : H_7 < Syl_2(S_4) \times S_2$ where $H_6 = \{(g, h) | g \in Syl_2(S_4), h \in S_2\}$

and h depends of g:

$$\begin{cases} h_g = e, \quad if\ g|_{X^2} \in Syl_2A_7, \\ h_g = (i,j), i,j \in \{5,6,7\},\ if\ g|_{X^2} \in Syl_2S_7 \setminus Syl_2A_7. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

The generators of the group H_7 have the form (g,h), $g \in Syl_2(S_4)$, $h \in C_2$, namely: $\{\beta_0; \beta_1, \tau\} \cup \{(5,6)\}$. An element h_g can't be a product of two transpositions of the set: $(i,j),(j,k),(i,k)$, where $i,j,k \in \{5,6,7\}$, because $(i,j)(j,k) = (i,k,j)$ but $ord(i,k,j) = 3$, so such element doesn't belong to 2-subgroup. In general elements of Syl_2A_{4k+3} have the structure (2), where $h_g = (i,j)$, $i,j \in \{4k+1, 4k+2, 4k+3\}$ and $g \in Syl_2S_{4k}$.

Also $|Syl_2(S_8)| = 2^7$, $|Syl_2(S_4)| = 2^3$, so $|Syl_2(S_8) \times Syl_2(S_4)| = 2^{10}$ and $|H_{12}| = 2^9$, because its index in $Syl_2(S_8) \times Syl_2(S_4)$ is 2. The structure of $Syl_2(A_6)$ is the same as of $H_6 < Syl_2(S_4) \times (C_2)$. Here $H_6 = \{(g, h_g) | g \in Syl_2(S_4), h_g \in C_2\}$.

The sizes of this groups are equal, really $|Syl_2(A_7)| = 2^{[7/2]+[7/4]-1} = 2^3 = |H_7|$. In case $g|_{L_2} \in S_7 \setminus A_7$ we have C_3^2 ways to construct one transposition that is direct factor in H which complete Syl_2S_4 to H_7 by one transposition : $\{(5,6);(6,7);(5,7)\}$.

The structure of $Syl_2(A_{2^k+2^l})$ $(k > l)$ is the same as of the subgroup $H_{2^k+2^l} < Syl_2(S_{2^k}) \times Syl_2(S_{2^l})$, for that $[Syl_2(S_{2^k}) \times Syl_2(S_{2^l}) : H] = 2$. $|Syl_2(A_{2^k+2^l})| = 2^{[(2^k+2^l)!/2]+[(2^k+2^l)!/4]+....-1}$. Here $H = \{(g,h_g) | g \in Syl_2(S_2^k), h_g \in Syl_2(S_2^l)\}$, where

$$\begin{cases} h \in A_{2^l}, \quad if\ g|_{X^{k-1}} \in A_{2^k}, \\ h : h|_{X^2} \in Syl_2(S_{2^l}) \setminus Syl_2A_{2^l},\ if\ g|_{X^k} \in Syl_2S_{2^k} \setminus Syl_2A_{2^k}. \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

The generators of the group H_7 have the form (g,h), $g \in Syl_2(S_4)$, $h \in C_2$, namely: $\beta_0; \beta_1, \tau \cup (5,6)$.

I.e. for element $\beta_\sigma(2i-1) = 2\sigma(i) - 1$, $\beta_\sigma(2i) = 2\sigma(i)$, $\sigma_i \in \left\{1, 2, ..., 2^{k-1}\right\}$.

Let us present new operation $\boxtimes$ (similar to that is in [1]) as a even subdirect product of $SylS_{2^i}$, $n = 2^{k_0} + 2^{k_1} + ... + 2^{k_m}$, $0 \le k_0 < k_1 < ... < k_m$ and $m \ge 0$, i.e. $SylS_{2^{k_1}} \boxtimes SylS_{2^{k_2}} \boxtimes ... \boxtimes SylS_{2^{k_l}} = Par(SylS_{2^{k_1}} \times SylS_{2^{k_2}} \times ... \times SylS_{2^{k_l}})$, where $Par(G)$ – set of all even permutations of G. Note, that $\boxtimes$ is not associated operation, for instance $ord(G_1 \boxtimes G_2 \boxtimes G_3) = |G_1 \times G_2 \times G_3| : 2$ but $ord((G_1 \boxtimes G_2) \boxtimes G_3) = |G_1 \times G_2 \times G_3| : 4$. For cases $n = 4k+1$, $n = 4k+3$ it follows from formula of Legendre.

It is well known that the $Syl_2S_{2^{k_i}} \simeq \wr_{j=1}^{k_i} C_2$. Since Sylow p-subgroup of direct product is direct product of Sylow p-subgroups and fact that automorphism of rooted tree keeps an vertex-edge incidence relation then we have $AutX^{[k_0]} \times AutX^{[k_1]} \times ... \times AutX^{[k_m]} \simeq Syl_2S_n$, $n = 2^{k_1} + 2^{k_2} + ... + 2^{k_l}$, $k_i \ge 0$, $k_i < k_{i-1}$. Let us denote a subgroup, that consists of all even substitutions from Syl_2S_n as $AutX^{[k_0]} \boxtimes AutX^{[k_1]} \boxtimes ... \boxtimes AutX^{[k_m]}$, where a states of v.p. on $X^{k_0-1} \sqcup X^{k_1-1} \sqcup ... \sqcup X^{k_m-1}$ are related by congruence:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{k_i-1}} s_{k_i-1,j}(\alpha_i) \equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod} 2). \tag{4}$$

Lemma 9. *If number of active states on a last level of $AutX^{[k_i]}$ from $AutX^{[k_0]} \boxtimes \ ... \ \boxtimes AutX^{[k_m]}$ is odd, then it is subdirect product of groups $AutX^{[k_0]}, \ ... \ , AutX^{[k_m]}$.*

Proof. It is a quotient group which is a homomorphic image obtained by a mapping from $AutX^{[k_0]} \times AutX^{[k_1]} \times ... \times AutX^{[k_m]} \simeq Syl_2S_n$ to this quotient group. A kernel of φ consists of all automorphisms which satisfy a congruence $\sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{2^{k_i-1}} s_{k_i-1,j}(\alpha_i) \equiv 1 \, (\mathrm{mod} 2)$. At once from definition follows, that if number of states on last level of $AutX^{[k_i]}$ is odd, then a subgroup from the condition is subdirect product of groups $AutX^{[k_0]}, \ ... \ , AutX^{[k_m]}$. Actually for every state of automorphism α from $AutX^{[k_i]}$ on X^l, $l < k_i - 1$ we have that $(e, ..., e, \alpha_i, e, ..., e)$ belongs to $AutX^{[k_0]} \times AutX^{[k_1]} \times ... \times AutX^{[k_m]}$. An arbitrary state from X^{k_i-1} is included in $AutX^{[k_0]} \boxtimes AutX^{[k_1]} \boxtimes ... \boxtimes AutX^{[k_m]}$ together with even number of states from last levels of $X^{[k_0]}, ... , X^{[k_m]}$. Analogous fact was proved in [1] for a direct sum of permutations groups and for their subgroups which consists of all even permutations. Our statement is a resticion on a Syl_2S_n. □

The Sylow subgroup $Syl_2(A_n)$ has index 2 in $Syl_2(S_n)$ and it's structure: $Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}} \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_2}} \boxtimes ... \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_l}}$.

Lemma 10. *If $n = 4k + 2$, then the subgroup Syl_2A_n is isomorphic to Syl_2S_{4k}, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Let us consider the subgroup $H_{4k+2} = \{(g, h_g) | g \in Syl_2(S_{4k}), h_g \in S_2\}$, where

$$\begin{cases} h_g = e, \quad if \ g|_{X^k} \in Syl_2(A_{4k+2}), \\ h_g = (4k+1, 4k+2), \ if \ g|_{X^k} \in Syl_2(S_{4k+2}) \setminus Syl_2(A_{4k+2}). \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

For instance the structure of $Syl_2(A_6)$ is the same as subgroup $H_6 : H_6 < Syl_2(S_4) \times (C_2)$, where $H_6 = \{(g, h) | g \in Syl_2(S_4), h \in AutX\}$. So last bijection determined by (5) give us $Syl_2A_6 \simeq Syl_2S_4$. As a corollary we have $Syl_2A_{2^k+2} \simeq Syl_2S_{2^k}$.

Bijection correspondence between set of elements of $Syl_2(A_n)$ and $Syl_2(S_{4k})$ we have from (5). Let's consider a mapping $\phi : Syl_2(S_{4k}) \to Syl_2(A_{4k+2})$ if $\sigma \in Syl_2(S_{4k})$ then $\phi(\sigma) = \sigma \circ (4k+1, 4k+2)^{\chi(\sigma)} = (\sigma, (4k+1, 4k+2)^{\chi(\sigma)})$, where $\chi(\sigma)$ is number of transposition in σ by module 2. So $\phi(\sigma) \in Syl_2(A_{4k+2})$. If $\phi(\sigma) \in A_n$ then $\chi(\sigma) = 0$, so $\phi(\sigma) \in Syl_2(A_{n-1})$. Check that ϕ is homomorphism. Assume that $\sigma_1 \in Syl_2(S_{4k} \backslash A_{4k})$, $\sigma_2 \in Syl_2(A_{4k})$, then $\phi(\sigma_1)\phi(\sigma_2) = (\sigma_1, h^{\chi(\sigma_1)})(\sigma_2, e) = (\sigma_1\sigma_2, h) = \sigma_1\sigma_2 \circ (4k+1, 4k+2)$, where $(\sigma_i, h) = \sigma_i \circ h^{\chi(\sigma_i)} \in Syl_2(A_{4k+2})$. If $\sigma_1, \ \sigma_2 \in S_{2^k} \backslash A_{2^k}$, then $\phi(\sigma_1)\phi(\sigma_2) = (\sigma_1, h^{\chi(\sigma_1)})(\sigma_2, h^{\chi(\sigma_2)}) = (\sigma_1\sigma_2, \ e) = (a, \ e)$, where $\sigma_1\sigma_2 = a \in A_{4k+2}$. So it is isomorphism. □

Let $n_m = 2^{k_0} + 2^{k_1} + ... + 2^{k_m}$, where $0 \leq k_0 < k_1 < ... < k_m$ and $m \geq 0$.

Theorem 4. *If $n_m = 4k + 2$, then the minimal set of generators for $Syl_2A_{n_m}$ has $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} k_i$ elements.*

Proof. Actually, according to Lemma 10, Syl_2A_{4k+2} is isomorphic to Syl_2S_{4k}. In Statement 2 it was proved that $Syl_2S_{4k} \simeq Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}} \times ... \times Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$, where $4k = 2^{k_1} + ... + 2^{k_m}$,

$k_1 < ... < k_m$. On the other hand, $Syl_2S_{2^{k_i}} \simeq AutX^{[k_i]}$, so there exists the homomorphism φ from every factor $Syl_2S_{2^{k_i}}$ onto $C_2^{k_i}$. Such homomorphism was defined in Corollary 5 and in [8]. And what is more it is known that $AutX^{[k_i]}$ has a minimal generating set of k_i generators [8]. Thus, there exists the homomorphism from $AutX^{[k_1]} \times ... \times AutX^{[k_m]}$ onto $C_2^{k_1} \times ... \times C_2^{k_m}$, so the rank of Syl_2A_{4k+2} is $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} k_i$, where $k_1 = 1$. □

This result was confirmed by the algebraic system GAP. Actually, it was founded by GAP that the minimal generating set for Syl_2A_{14}, $Syl_2A_{14} \simeq Syl_2S_{12} \simeq Syl_2S_{2^2} \times Syl_2S_{2^3}$, of 5 elements: $(11,12)(13,14), (9,11)(10,12), (7,8)(9,10), (1,5)(2,6)(3,7)(4,8), (1,3)(2,4)$.

Lemma 11. *If $n_m \equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}2)$, then there exists a point n from tuple M of n_m points indexed by numbers from 1 to n_m, such that $St_{Syl_2S_{n_m}}(n)$ is isomorphic to $Syl_2S_{n_m}$ acting on a tuple M.*

Proof. If S_{n_m} acts on M, then one of a Sylow 2-subgroups $H < S_{n_m}$ is isomorphic to $AutX^{[k_0]} \times AutX^{[k_1]} \times ... \times AutX^{[k_m]}$, that acts on tuple of n_m points, where$n_m = 2^{k_0} + 2^{k_1} + ... + 2^{k_m}$, $k_0 < k_1 < ... < k_m$. By virtue of the fact that $n_m \equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}2)$, then $k_0 = 0$. Thus point n, that is in $X^{[k_0]}$ has a stabilizer $St_H(n) \simeq Syl_2S_{n_m}$. It is so, because group of automorphism of such group keeps an vertex-edge incidence relation of $X^{[k_i]}$, $i \in \{0, ..., m\}$. Thus, action of every Sylow 2-subgroup of S_{n_m}, where $n_m \equiv 1(\mathrm{mod}2)$, fix one element from $\{1, 2, ..., n_m\}$. □

According to the Sylow theorem all Sylows p-subgroups are conjugated so their actions are isomorphic. In particular, a Sylow 2-subgroup of S_{2^r} is self-normalizing. The number of Sylow 2-subgroups of S_{2^r} is $2^r! : 2^e$ where $e = 1 + 2 + ... + 2^{r-1}$ [12].

Remark 1. *The mentioned isomorphism may be extended to $Syl_2A_{4k+3} \simeq Syl_2A_{4k+2} \simeq Syl_2S_{4k+1} \simeq Syl_2S_{4k}$.*

Proof. Since in accordance with Lemma 11 an action of Syl_2A_{4k+3} on the set of $4k+3$ elements fixes one point, then this group as group of action is isomorphic to Syl_2A_{4k+2}. For a similar reason $Syl_2A_{4k+1} \simeq Syl_2A_{4k}$. As well as it was proved in Lemma 10 that $Syl_2A_{4k+2} \simeq Syl_2S_{4k}$. □

The number of generating sets for $AutX^{k_i}$ is not less then $N_{k_i} = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot ... \cdot 2^{k_i-1} = 2^{\frac{k_i(k_i-1)}{2}}$. Hence, the number of generating sets for Syl_2A_{4k+2} is not less than $2^{\frac{k_1(k_1-1)}{2}} \cdot ... \cdot 2^{\frac{k_m(k_m-1)}{2}}$. Thus, it can be applied in cryptography [15].

Property 1. *Relation between sizes of the Sylows subgroup for $n = 4k-2$ and $n = 4k$ is given by $|Syl_2(A_{4k-2})| = 2^i\,|Syl_2(A_{4k})|$, where value i depends only of power of 2 in decomposition of prime number of k.*

Proof. Really $|A_{4k-2}| = \frac{(4k-2)!}{2}$, therefore $|A_{4k}| = \frac{(4k-2)!}{2}(4k-1)4k$, it means that i determines only by k and is not bounded. □

Proposition 2. *If $n = 4k$, then index $Syl_2(A_{n+3})$ in A_{n+3} is equal to $[S_{4k+1} : Syl_2(A_{4k+1})](2k+1)(4k+3)$, index $Syl_2(A_{n+1})$ in A_{n+1} as a subgroup of index 2^{m-1}, where m is the maximal natural number, for which $4k!$ is divisible by 2^m.*

Proof. For $Syl_2(A_{n+3})$ its cardinality equal to maximal power of 2 which divide $(4k+3)!$ this power on 1 grater then correspondent power in $(4k+1)!$ because $(4k+3)! = (4k+1)!(4k+2)(4k+3) = (4k+1)!2(2k+1)(4k+3)$ so $\mid Syl_2A_{n+3} \mid = 2^m \cdot 2 = 2^{m+1}$. As a result of it indexes of A_{n+3} and A_{n+1} are following: $[S_{4k+1} : Syl_2(A_{4k+1})] = \frac{(4k+1)!}{2^m}$ and $[S_{4k+3} : Syl_2(A_{4k+3})] = [S_{4k+1} : Syl_2(A_{4k+1})](2k+1)(4k+3) = \frac{(4k+1)!}{2^m}(2k+1)(4k+3)$. □

Proposition 3. *If $n = 2k$ then $[Syl_2(A_n) : Syl_2(S_{n-1})] = 2^{m-1}$, where m is the maximal power of 2 in factorization of n.*

Proof. $|Syl_2(S_{n-1})|$ is equal to t that is a maximal power of 2 in $(n-1)!$. $|Syl_2(A_n)|$ is equal to maximal power of 2 in $(n!/2)$. Since $n = 2k$ then $(n/2)! = (n-1)!\frac{n}{2}$ and 2^f is equal to product maximal power of 2 in $(n-1)!$ on maximal power of 2 in $\frac{n}{2}$. Therefore $\frac{|Syl_2(A_n)|}{|Syl_2(S_{n-1})|} = \frac{2^{m-1}}{2^t}2^t = 2^{m-1}$. Note that for odd $m = n-1$ the group $Syl_2(S_m) \simeq Syl_2(S_{m-1})$ i.e. $Syl_2(S_{n-1}) \simeq Syl_2(S_{n-2})$. The group $Syl_2(S_{n-2})$ contains the automorphism of correspondent binary subtree with last level X^{n-2} and this automorphism realizes the permutation σ on X^{n-2}. For every $\sigma \in Syl_2(S_{n-2})$ let us set in correspondence a permutation $\sigma(n-1,n)^{\chi(\sigma)} \in Syl_2(A_n)$, where $\chi(\sigma)$ – number of transposition in σ by $mod\,2$, so it is bijection $\phi(\sigma) \longmapsto \sigma(n-1,n)\chi(\sigma)$ that has property of homomorphism, see Lemma 10. Thus, we prove that $Syl_2(S_{n-1}) \hookrightarrow Syl_2(A_n)$ and its index is 2^{d-1}. □

Proposition 4. *The ratio of $|Syl_2(A_{4k+3})|$ and $|Syl_2(A_{4k+1})|$ is equal to 2 and ratio of indexes $[A_{4k+3} : Syl_2(A_{4k+3})]$ and $[A_{4k+1} : Syl_2(A_{4k+1})]$ is equal $(2k+1)(4k+3)$.*

Proof. The ratio $|Syl_2(A_{4k+3})| : |Syl_2(A_{4k+1})| = 2$ holds because formula of Legendre gives us new one power of 2 in $(4k+3)!$ in compering with $(4k+1)!$. Second part of statement follows from theorem about p-subgroup of H, $[G : H] \neq kp$ then one of p-subgroups of H is Sylow p-group of G. In this case $p = 2$ but $|Syl_2(A_{4k+3})| : |Syl_2(A_{4k+1})| = 2$ so we have to divide ratio of indexes on 2. □

Proposition 5. *If $n = 2k+1$ then $Syl_2(A_n) \cong Syl_2(A_{n-1})$ and $Syl_2(S_n) \cong Syl_2(S_{n-1})$.*

Proof. Orders of these subgroups are equal to each other according to Legender's formula which counts power of 2 in $(2k+1)!$ and $(2k)!$ we obtain that these powers are equal. So these maximal 2-subgroups are isomorphic. From Statment 1 can be obtained that vertex with number $2k+1$ will be fixed to hold even number of transpositions on X^{k_1} from decomposition of n which is in Statement 1. For instance $Syl_2(A_7) \simeq Syl_2(A_6)$ and by the way $Syl_2(A_6) \simeq C_2 \wr C_2 \simeq D_4$, $Syl_2(A_{11}) \simeq Syl_2(A_{10}) \simeq C_2 \wr C_2 \wr C_2$. □

Proof. Sizes of these subgroups are equal to each other according to Legender's formula which counts power of 2 in $(2k+1)!$ and $(2k)!$ we obtain that these powers are equal. So these maximal 2-subgroups are isomorphic. From Statment 1 can be obtained that vertex with number $2k+1$ will be fixed to hold even number of transpositions on X^{k_1} from decomposition of n which is in Statement 1. For instance $Syl_2(A_7) \simeq Syl_2(A_6)$ and by the way $Syl_2(A_6) \simeq C_2 \wr C_2 \simeq D_4$, $Syl_2(A_{11}) \simeq Syl_2(A_{10}) \simeq C_2 \wr C_2 \wr C_2$. □

Let us denote by $S(n)$ and $S[n]$ a minimal generating set of $Syl_2(A_n)$, $Syl_2(S_n)$ correspondently. Let $n_m = 2^{k_0} + 2^{k_1} + ... + 2^{k_m}$, where $0 \leq k_0 < k_1 < ... < k_m$ and $m \geq 0$.

Definition 4. We shall call the top of set of generators a portion of generators from subgroup with the largest degree k_m, which belong to tuples, that represent elements from subdirect product, where there are not other non-trivial elements.

Theorem 5. *Any minimal set of generators for* Syl_2A_n *has* $\sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} k_i - 1$ *generators, if* $m > 0$, *and it has* k_0 *generators, if* $m = 0$.

Proof. Construction of generating set $S(n_m)$ is such that it contains on the first coordinate all generators from $Syl_2S_{2^{k_0}}$, it contains all generators of $Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}}$ on the second one, analogously it contains on i-th coordinate all generators of $Syl_2S_{2^{k_i}}$, $0 < i \leq m$. Hence we can generate on i-th coordinate an arbitrary element from $Syl_2S_{2^{k_i}}$. States on $X^{k_0-1} \sqcup X^{k_1-1} \sqcup ... \sqcup X^{k_m-1}$ are related by congruence:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{2^{k_i-1}} s_{k_i-1j}(\alpha_i) \equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod} 2) \tag{6}$$

This congruence holds due to structure of constructed by us set of generators.

Let us consider a construction of a set of generators for Syl_2A_n and prove its minimality. Consider an induction base on the example of the group A_{28}, since $A_{28} = Syl_2A_4 \times Syl_2A_8 \times Syl_2A_{16}$, according to the proved fact it is equivalent to a construction of minimal set of generators for $Syl_2A_{28} = Syl_2S_4 \boxtimes Syl_2S_8 \boxtimes Syl_2S_{16}$. All elements of this set define odd substitutions on the respective sets X^2 and X^3 by the generators of the subgroups $AutX^{[1]} = Syl_2S_4 = \langle \alpha_{0,1(1)}, \alpha_1 \rangle$, and Syl_2S_8 where $\langle \alpha_{0,2(1)}, \alpha_{1(2),2(1)}, \alpha_2 \rangle = AutX^{[3]} = Syl_2S_8$, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_{2(1)}$. Last subgroup of the subdirect product has the next generating set $Syl_2S_{16} = \langle \alpha_0,\ \alpha_{1(1)},\ \alpha_{2(1)},\ \alpha_{3(1)} \rangle$ hence here only the last element determines odd permutation on X^4. Other generators for Syl_2S_8 can be decomposed in a product of two special elements $\alpha_2\alpha_i = \alpha_{i(1);2(1)}$. We call *such structure* of element as *odd structure*. Generators of Syl_2S_4, $0 \leq i < 2$ have the same structure $\alpha_1\alpha_0 = \alpha_{0;1(1)}$, where $0 \leq i < 1$. Hence a product of two arbitrary elements from the set $\{\alpha_{0,2(1)}, \alpha_{1(2),2(1)}, \alpha_2\}$ is automorphism that has even number of active states of v.p. on X^2. Analogously statement is true for $\{\alpha_{0,1(1)}, \alpha_1\}$ on X^1 and for $\alpha_{1(1)},\ \alpha_{2(1)},\ \alpha_{3(1)}$ on X^3. Now rename generators of group Syl_2S_4 as $\beta_{0,1(1)} = t_0$, $\beta_1 = t_1$, for the second group as $\alpha_{0,1(1),2(1)} = s_0$, $\alpha_{1,2(1)} = s_1$, $\alpha_2 = s_2$, rename generators for Syl_2S_{16} as $f_0 = \alpha_0$, ... , $f_3 = \alpha_{3(1)}$. A characteristic feature of the built elements is, that those of them, which are generators of the same subgroup $Syl_2S_{2^i}$ and implement odd substitutions, contains the unique common 2-cycle, that implements odd substitution on the set, where the correspondent group acts. Thus, for $AutX^{[2]}$ it is the cycle $(1, 2)$ on set with 4 elements. Therefore, according to the given by us structure of generators of groups $Syl_2S_{2^i}$, those of them, that implement odd substitutions, their products and their squares, are already even substitutions. The set of generators for Syl_2A_{28}, $Syl_2A_{28} = Syl_2S_4 \boxtimes Syl_2S_8 \boxtimes Syl_2S_{16}$ is $\langle (s_0, t_0, e), (t_0, e, f_3), (e, s_1, f_3), (s_1, t_0, e), (e, t_1, f_3), (e, t_2, f_3), (e, e,\ f_2), (e, e,\ f_1), (e, e,\ f_0) \rangle$

that is why substitutions, that are defined by generators f_2, f_1, f_0 must be even (we shall call just this ***set as top***) and f_3 must has odd structure.

Since for the group $Syl_2S_{2^{k_i}}$ there exists a surjective homomorphism $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_{k_i-1})$ onto $C_2^{k_i}$, where φ_i is homomorphism from $G_{k_i}(l)$ onto C_2, so there exists a surjective homomorphism from $Syl_2S_4 \times Syl_2S_8 \times Syl_2S_{16}$ onto C_2^9 [8]. We apply it to every $G_{k_i}(l)$, which are the subgroups of $Syl_2S_4 \times Syl_2S_8 \times Syl_2S_{16}$, where $k_i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ and l changes from 0 to $2^{k_i} - 1$ for each k_i.

Show, that there is enough $\sum_{i=0}^{m} k_i - 1$ generators in general case, when $m > 0$. Arbitrary element from can be generated on the first coordinate as follows $(s_0, \beta_1, e)(s_1, \beta_1, e) = (\alpha_0, e, e)$ and $(\alpha_0, e, e)(s_0, \beta_1, e) = (\alpha_{1(2)}, \beta_1, e)$ then $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, e)(\alpha_{0,1(1)}, \beta_1, e) = (\alpha_{1(12)}, e, e)$. Hence, we have derived on the first coordinate all non-trivial elements from Syl_2A_4.

All automorphisms, which has odd structure, provides an odd permutations on X^{k_i}, which contain in its cyclic decomposition the unique cycle of length 2 (in common case it is odd number of such cycles) that rearranges neighboring elements from X^{k_i} and arises under the action of active state from X^{k_i-1}, all other cycles in substitutions are even, because they are defined by states from X^{k-j}, $1 < j < k_i$. Thus, in the multiplication of these automorphisms, reduction of such cycle is obtained or one more conjugated to it 2 cycle appears in the correspondent substitution.

The considered by us group $Syl_2S_4 \boxtimes Syl_2S_8 \boxtimes Syl_2S_{16}$ is a quotient group of $Syl_2S_4 \times Syl_2S_8 \times Syl_2S_{16}$ by normal *closure* R^G, where R is the relation that can be derived from the congruence 6. Thus from the group $Syl_2S_4 \boxtimes Syl_2S_8 \boxtimes Syl_2S_{16}$ has on 1 generator less than $Syl_2S_4 \times Syl_2S_8 \times Syl_2S_{16}$ because we have new relation from which this generator can be expressed from form $t^{-1}\omega$, $\omega \in Syl_2A_{28}$ and t is one of generators for $Syl_2S_4 \times Syl_2S_8 \times Syl_2S_{16}$. Hence now t can be express due to a new relation similar as it was in transformation of Tietce. Thus we have on one generator less because t is depends from rest of generators for $Syl_2S_4 \times Syl_2S_8 \times Syl_2S_{16}$.

For the group Syl_2A_{28} there exists a surjective homomorphism $(\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_{k_3})$ onto C_2^8, which is built previously. We apply it to every $G_{k_i}(l)$, which are the subgroups of $Syl_2S_4 \boxtimes Syl_2S_8 \boxtimes Syl_2S_{16}$, where $k_i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ and l changes from 0 to $2^{k_i} - 1$ for each k_i. The main property of a homomorphism holds for all $G_{k_i}(l)$. Actually, a parity of index of $\alpha \cdot \beta$ on X^l is equal to sum by $\bmod 2$ of indexes of α and β hence $\varphi(\alpha_l \cdot \beta_l) = (\varphi(\alpha_l) + \varphi(\beta_l))$ because multiplication $\alpha \cdot \beta$ in G_k does not change a parity of index of β, $\beta \in G_k$ on X^l. This parity depends only from parities of α and β on X^l. That's why the described mapping is a homomorphism onto C_2. The same is true for every $l \in \{0, ..., 3\}$ and for every $k_i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ from $G_{k_i}(l)$. A group A_{n_m} has the similar structure $Syl_2S_{2^{k_0}} \boxtimes \ldots \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_{m-1}}} \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$ and main property of constructed homomorphism $\varphi(\alpha_l \cdot \beta_l) = (\varphi(\alpha_l) + \varphi(\beta_l))$ holds by the same reason for every, $l \in \{0, ..., k_m - 1\}$.

Let us separate out a subgroup which corresponds to the last level, at which the active states exist. The parity of the index of this subgroup, let it be $G_4(3)$, depends also on the parities of all other level subgroups of $AutX^{[2]} \boxtimes AutX^{[3]} \boxtimes AutX^{[4]}$ because relation 6 holds. Thus Syl_2A_{28} has minimal generating set from 8 elements.

Let us present $S(28)$ in form useful for generalization and for counting rank of $S(28)$: $\langle (s_0, e, f_3), (s_1, e, f_3), (e,\ t_0,\ f_3), (e, t_1, f_3), (e, t_2, f_3), (e, e,\ f_2), (e, e,\ f_1), (e, e,\ f_0)\rangle$.
So $rk(S(28))$=8. Obviously that generator f_3 from generating set of Syl_2S_{16} occurs only in combination with generators of other subgroups and any other of generators occurs only one time. Thus formula $\sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} k_i - 1$ holds. This result was confirmed by algebraic system GAP by which it was founded the minimal generating set for Syl_2A_{28} from 8 elements: $(25, 27)(26, 28), (23, 24)(25, 26), (17, 21)(18, 22)(19, 23)(20, 24), (17, 19)(18, 20)$, $(15, 16)(17, 18), (1, 9)(2, 10)(3, 11)(4, 12)(5, 13)(6, 14)(7, 15)(8, 16), (1, 5)(2, 6)(3, 7)(4, 8)$, $(1, 3)(2, 4)$. Thus, the base of induction is checked.

The construction of homomorphism and minimal generating set for case $n = 2^k$ was fully investigated in Corollary 5.

Show, that there is enough $\sum\limits_{i=0}^{m} k_i - 1$ generators in general case, when $m > 0$. There are all k_i generators of $Syl_2A_{2^{k_i}}$ on i-th coordinate. Consider the example from the base of induction arbitrary element from Syl_2A_4 can be generated on the first coordinate as follows $(s_0, \beta_1, e)(s_1, \beta_1, e) = (\alpha_0, e, e)$ and $(\alpha_0, e, e)(s_0, \beta_1, e) = (\alpha_{1(2)}, \beta_1, e)$ then $(\alpha_1, \beta_1, e)(\alpha_{0,1(1)}, \beta_1, e) = (\alpha_{1(12)}, e, e)$. Hence, we have derived on the first coordinate all non-trivial elements from Syl_2A_4. All automorphisms, which has odd structure, provides an odd permutations on X^{k_i}, which contain in its cyclic decomposition the unique cycle of length 2 (in common case it is odd number of such cycles) that rearranges neighboring elements from X^{k_i} and arises under the action of active state from X^{k_i-1}, all other cycles in substitutions are even, because they are defined by states from X^{k-j}, $1 < j < k_i$. Thus, in the multiplication of these automorphisms, reduction of such cycle is obtained or one more conjugated to it 2 cycle appears in the correspondent substitution.

So, closure holds with respect to parity of obtained tuples of elements as a result of multiplication in the whole subdirect product, because in the product automorphism is reduced on the second coordinate, which is determined by the same state, that is determined by β_3. It is so because it has the order 2 therefore e are on other coordinates, and automorphism on the first coordinate becomes an even substitution already after the first multiplication by (s_0, β_1, e). Indeed, set of generators for $\langle t_0,\ t_1\rangle$ has such cyclic structure, that the same cycle implements the unique odd substitution - this is the cycle of length 2, therefore it is reduced as a result of multiplication of two such generators and even substitution is obtained, the generators $s_0,\ s_1,\ s_2,\ f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3$ for Syl_2A_8 and Syl_2A_{16} correspondently have the same structure, so this is the set of generators, that has only 7 elements, while the direct product of these groups should have 9 elements. Thus, for this example the statement is true. Let us show, that minimal set of generators can be constructed by the same method for A_{n_m} that contains in subdirect product the factor $Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$.

All generator except those from the top of generating set for $Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$ has odd structure. For $Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$ we choose one generator that realize odd permutation on X^{k_m} analogously as α_{k_m-1} and other generators $\alpha_{k_0}, ..., \alpha_{k_m-2}$ belong to top of set of generators of $Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$, so they define even substitutions on X^{k_m}. Here every separately taken generator for the

separated subgroup $Syl_2S_{2^{k_i}}$, $k_i < k_m$ defines odd substitution and has odd structure, only generators from *the top of set of generators* of the subgroup $Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$ implement even substitutions. Only one generator from $S(2^{k_m})$ has odd structure and only this generator stands in pair with generator from $S(2^{k_m-1})$ in tuple of generators of subdirect product for $Syl_2S_{2^{k_0}} \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}} \boxtimes \ ... \ \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$. Thus rank of $S(n_m)$ in comparing to $S(n_{m-1})$ will grows on k_m. Thus rank of $S(n_m)$ became to be $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{m} k_i - 1$ as it states in formula from condition.

For the subgroup $Syl_2S_{2^{k_i}}$, $i < m$, distinguish its subgroups of the form $G_{k_i}(l)$, $l < k_i - 1$ elements from this subgroup act on X^{k_i} by even substitutions and therefore are not linked with elements $G_{k_j}(s)$, $s < k_j - 1$, where $i \neq j$, $k_i - s = k_j - l$ by parity relation and they forming a direct product. States from last levels $X^{k_0}, ..., X^{k_m}$ are linked by parity relation and form the subdirect product, in which there is only even number of states in every tuple with $m+1$ coordinates. Construction of generating set $S(n_m)$ is such that it presents on the first coordinate all generators from $Syl_2S_{2^{k_0}}$, it presents all generators of $Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}}$ on the second one, analogously it presents on i-th coordinate all generators of $Syl_2S_{2^{k_i}}$, $0 < i \leq m$. Hence we can generate on i-th coordinate an arbitrary element from $Syl_2S_{2^{k_i}}$. A states on last level of $X^{[k_0-1]} \sqcup X^{[k_1-1]} \sqcup ... \sqcup X^{[k_m-1]}$ are related by congruence 6. And form the subgroup of $H = Syl_2S_{2^{k_0}} \boxtimes \ ... \ \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$ that is a quotient group of $G = Syl_2S_{2^{k_0}} \times \ ... \ \times Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$ by normal c closure R^G, where R is the relation equivalent to relation 6. Since as in proof of induction base we have that the group H has on 1 generator less than G because we have new relation 6 that does not belongs to normal closure of relations from the group G and R does not contains new generators than those for G, therefore one of old generators can be expressed. This congruence holds due to the structure of constructed by us set of generators.

To prove that proposed by us set generates whole group Syl_2A_n let us prove that there is equality of orders of $\langle S(n) \rangle$ and Syl_2A_n. Order of Syl_2S_n is $2^{2^{k_0}+...+2^{k_m}-(m+1)} = 2^{n-m-1}$ because $SylS_{2^k} \simeq AutX^{[2^k]}$ and its order is equal to 2^{2^k-1}, where $n = 2^{k_0} + 2^{k_1} + ... + 2^{k_m}$. Thus $|Syl_2A_n| = 2^{n-m-2}$. But order of constructed by us quotient group $Syl_2S_{2^{k_0}} \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_1}} \boxtimes \ ... \ \boxtimes Syl_2S_{2^{k_m}}$ is also equal to 2^{n-m-2} because the relation 6 restricts class of states from last level of $X^{[k_0-1]} \sqcup X^{[k_1-1]} \sqcup ... \sqcup X^{[k_m-1]}$ to the subclass that realize even permutations on X^n. The same order for $\frac{n!}{2}$ give us Legender's formula for finding maximal power of 2 which divides $\frac{n!}{2}$:

$\left[\frac{n}{2}\right] + ... + \left[\frac{n}{2^{k_m}}\right] - 1 = 2^{k_0} + 2^{k_1} + ... + 2^{k_m} - 1 = (n - m - 1) - 1 = n - m - 2.$ $\square$

Also from Statement 1 and corollary from it about $(AutX^{[k]})'$ can be deduced that derived length of $Syl_2A_2^k$ is not always equal to k as it was said in Lemma 3 of [1] because in case A_{2^k} if $k = 2$ its $Syl_2A_4 \simeq K_4$ but K_4 is abelian group so its derived length is 1.

3. Some applications of constructed generating sets

As it was calculated before the number of generating sets for $AutX^{k_i}$ is not less then $N_{k_i} = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2^2 \cdot ... \cdot 2^{k_i-1} = 2^{\frac{k_i(k_i-1)}{2}}$. Hence, the number of generating sets for Syl_2A_{4k+2}

is not less $2^{\frac{k_1(k_1-1)}{2}} \cdot ... \cdot 2^{\frac{k_m(k_m-1)}{2}}$. Thus, if we associate generating set with alphabet and choice of generating set will be a privet key, then it can be applied in cryptography [15].

Let us consider a function of Morse [16] $f : D^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ that painted at pict. 2 and graph of Kronrod-Reeb [17] that obtained by contraction every set's component of level of $f^{-1}(c)$ in point. Group of automorphism of this graph is isomorphic to $Syl_2S_{2^k}$, where $k = 2$ in general case we have regular binary rooted tree for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$.



Picture 2.

According to investigations of [18] for D^2 we have that $Syl_2S_{2^k} > G_k \simeq Syl_2A_{2^k}$ is quotient group of diffeomorphism group that stabilize function and isotopic to identity. Analogously to investigations of [17, 18, 19] there is short exact sequence $0 \to \mathbb{Z}^m \to \pi_1 O_f(f) \to G \to 0$, where G-group of automorphisms Reeb's (Kronrod-Reeb) graph [17] and $O_f(f)$ is orbit under action of diffeomorphism group, so it could be way to transfer it for a group $Syl_2(S_{2^k})$, where m in $\mathbb{Z}^m$ is number of inner edges or vertices in Reeb's graph, in case for Syl_2S_4 we have $m = 3$.

Higher half of projection of manifold from pic. 2 can be determed by product of the quadratic forms $-((x+4)^2+y^2)((x+3)^2+y^2)((x-3)^2+y^2)((x-4)^2+y^2) = z$ in points $(-4,0)(-3,0)(3,0)(4,0)$ it reach a maximum value 0. Generally there is $-d_1^2d_2^2d_3^2d_4^2 = z$.

4. Conclusion

The proof of minimality of constructed generating sets was done, also the description of the structure $Syl_2A_{2^k}$, Syl_2A_n and its property was founded.

References

[1] *U. Dmitruk, V. Suschansky,* Structure of 2-sylow subgroup of symmetric and alternating group. UMJ. (1981), N. 3, pp. 304-312.

[2] *R. Skuratovskii* "Corepresentation of a Sylow p-subgroup of a group Sn". Cybernetics and systems analysis, (2009), N. 1, pp. 27-41.

[3] B. Pawlik The action of Sylow 2-subgroups of symmetric groups on the set of bases and the problem of isomorphism of their Cayley graphs. Algebra and Discrete Mathematics. (2016), Vol. 21, N. 2, pp. 264-281.

[4] *V. Ivanchenko,* Set of generators for 2-sylow subgroup alternating group, Four ukraine conference of young scientists. Kiev: *KPI* (2015, (http://matan.kpi.ua/uk/ysmp4conf.html)), pp. 60.

[5] *R.V. Skuratovskii, Y.A. Drozd* Generators and and relations for wreath products of groups. Ukr Math J. (2008), vol. 60. Issue 7, pp. 1168–1171.

[6] R. Grigorchuk, V. Nekrashevich, V. Sushchanskii, Automata, Dynamical Systems, and Groups, Trudy mat. inst. imeny Steklova. №231. (2000) 134–214.

[7] *V. Nekrashevych,* Self-similar groups. International University Bremen. American Mathematical Society. 2005. Monographs, Vol. 117, 230 p.

[8] *R.I. Grigorchuk,* Solved and unsolved problems around one group. Infinite Groups: Geometric, Combinatorial and Dynamical Aspects. Basel, (2005). Progress Math., vol 248. pp. 117-218.

[9] *V. Magnus, A. Karras, D. Soliter,* Combinatorial Group Theory: Presentations of Groups in Terms of Generators and Relations. – M.: Science, 1974, 453 p.

[10] *V.S. Sikora, V. I. Suschanskii,* Operations on groups of permutations. Chernivci: Ruta, 2003, 256 p.

[11] *M. I. Kargapolov, J. I. Merzljakov,* Fundamentals of the Theory of Groups Springer. Softcover reprint of the original 1st ed. 1979 edition. Springer. 1st ed. 1979. 312 p.

[12] *Louis Weisner,* On the Sylow Subgroups of the Symmetric and Alternating Groups. American Journal of Mathematics, (Apr., 1925), vol. 47, Issue 2, pp. 121-124.

[13] *Oleg Bogopolski,* An Introduction to the Theory of Groups. European Mathematical Society. 2008. — 189 p.

[14] *J. J. Rotman,* An introduction to the Theory of Groups. New Yourk. Springer, 1995, XV. 513 p.

[15] *A.G.Myasnikov, V. Shpilrain, A.Ushakov,* A practical attack on some braid group based cryptographic protocols, Crypto 2005, Springer Lect., Notes Comp. (2005), Sc. 3621, pp. 86-96.

[16] *V. V. Sharko,* Smooth topological equivalence of functions of surfaces. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal, May (2003), Volume 55, Issue 5, pp. 832–846.

[17] *S. I. Maksymenko,* Homotopy types of stabilizers and orbits of Morse functions on surfaces. Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry. (2006), May vol. 29, issue 3, pp. 241–285.

[18] *S. I. Maksymenko,* Path-components of Morse mappings space Some problems of contemporary mathematics. Proceedings of Intsitute of Mathematics of Ukrainian NAS. 1998, vol. 25, pp. 135-153.

[19] *R. V. Skuratovskii,* Minimal generating systems and properties of $Syl_2A_{2^k}$ and Syl_2A_n. X International Algebraic Conference in Odessa dedicated to the 70th anniversary of Yu. A. Drozd. (2015), pp. 104.

[20] *R. V. Skuratovskii,* Minimal generating systems and structure of $Syl_2A_{2^k}$ and Syl_2A_n. International Conference and PhD-Master Summer School on Graphs and Groups, Spectra and Symmetries. (2016), source: http://math.nsc.ru/conference/g2/g2s2/exptext/Skuratovskii-abstract-G2S2+.pdf.

[21] *R. V. Skuratovskii,* Minimal generating systems and structure of sylow 2-subgroups of alternating group. (2016), source: https://arxiv.org/pdf/1607.04855.pdf